

**CANADA** 

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 05/01/2006

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 10/084,232 02/28/2002 Leslie Dort A889577US 7803 **EXAMINER** 23971 7590 05/01/2006 **BENNETT JONES** BROWN, MICHAEL A C/O MS ROSEANN CALDWELL ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 4500 BANKERS HALL EAST 855 - 2ND STREET, SW 3764 CALGARY, AB T2P 4K7

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·		د ا
Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/084,232	DORT, LESLIE
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Michael Brown	3764
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 F	ebruary 2006.	
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-39,44 and 45</u> is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-39 and 44-45</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	
Application Papers	·	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•	
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage		
application from the International Burea		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.		
Attachment(s)	A □ 1=4 × 5	(DTO 442)
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [	Date
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08     Paper No(s)/Mail Date	) 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-19, 23-33, 36-39 and 44-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Scarberry.

Scarberry discloses in figures 1-4 a device for retaining a tongue comprising a single flange 12, having first and second surfaces (the inside and outside surfaces of 12), being sized and shaped to fit between a person lips and frontal surface of a person's teeth (fig. 1), the flange includes a protrusion 40, extending from the first surface to the second surface of the single flange (fig. 2), an aperture (indicated by 42), through the first and second surfaces and the single flange and the protrusion are an integrally molded one-piece body (col. 5, lines 5-10). The molded one-piece body is formed by molding (molded body, col. 5, lines 1-5). The aperture is adapted to receive the user's tongue (fig. 4). The integrally molded one-piece body is made of urethane (polyurethane (col. 5, lines 1-4). Claims 5-6 are intended use that Scarberry is capable of performing. The aperture includes walls (the walls of 40) that form a bulb 40 (as recited in claim 7). The bulb forms a vacuum (col. 7, lines 1-5). The walls form a

smooth continuous surface (fig. 4) The proximal end of the aperture is radiused (fig. 4) and the distal end of the aperture is radiused (fig. 4). The flange and the bulb are an integrally molded one-piece body (col. 5, lines 5-10). Scarberry discloses a method or retaining a tongue forming a vacuum (using 40) with a tongue retention device 40, squeezing the walls (of 40), inserting a tongue (fig. 4), releasing the walls (of 40) and positioning a flange 12 between the user's lips and the front surface of the user's teeth (fig. 2).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 21-22, 34-35 and 44-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Scarberry.

Scarberry discloses in figures 1-4 a device for maintaining a user's tongue, substantially as claimed. However, Scarberry doesn't disclose what the thickness of the material is, the cross-section of the body having a constant thickness, the thickness of the flange or the device having instruction on how to use it. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time that the invention was made that the thickness of the material, the cross-section of the body having a constant thickness, the thickness of the flange or instructions on how to use the device are within the scope of the device disclosed by Scarberry. The thickness of the material and the

flange are not critical. Thus, those dimensions recited in the claim could be duplicated by Scarberry. The cross-section having a constant thickness is not critical. Thus, Scarberry could be formed with a constant thickness. It is extremely old and well known that any kit will have instructions. As for the adjustment of the flange it is old and well know to trim a flange of a mouthpiece in order to allow the mouthpiece to be custom fitted to the user. As for the type of molding used, no patentable weight was given to how the device was molded because each of the types of molding and old and well known in the mouthpiece art. Also the product and not how the product was formed was claimed. The flange is adjustable simply by moving it or trimming a portion off the edge.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed February 15, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the flange 12 is not sized and shaped to be received between a person's lips and front surface of a person's teeth. However, the flange (12) disclosed by Scarberry fits into the user's mouth. The issue is not whether the flange fits between a person's lips and front surface of a person's teeth, but is it capable of performing the function of fitting between a person's lip and front surface of a person's teeth. The flange (12) is sized and shaped to be received between the user's lips and the frontal surface of the user's teeth (fig. 1). Applicant argues that Sscarberry discloses an upper bite block and a lower bite block attached to the flange. However, Applicant claimed a single flange. It doesn't matter if there are other structural limitations attached to the single flange. Applicant didn't recite the transitional phrase

"consisting of", or consisting essentially of" in any of the independent claims. Applicant argues that Scarberry discloses a rigid structure. However, Applicant didn't claim a flexible structure. Applicant argues that Scarberry doesn't disclose the single flange as recited in claim 7. However, as set forth above, Scarberry discloses a single flange. Applicant argues that Scarberry doesn't recite the method steps recited in claims 13-14. However, Scarberry discloses a single flange and a tongue receiving device that forms a vacuum around the tongue. The tongue is inserted into the tongue receive device. The walls are released to hold the tongue in place and the flange is positioned between the user's lips and the frontal surface of the user's teeth. It appears as if all the remaining arguments pertain to whether Scarberry discloses a single flange. However, as set forth above, Scarberry discloses a single flange. The flange of 12 can be interpreted as reference number 24. However, 24 is recited as being forming material.

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Brown whose telephone number is 571-272-4972. The examiner can normally be reached on 5:30 am-4:00 pm Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gergory Huson can be reached on 571-272-4887. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

M. Brown April 26, 2006

> MICHAEL A. BROWN PRIMARY EXAMINER

Michael a. Brown